

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/783,410	02/14/2001	Reiner Kraft	ARC920000105US1	ARC920000105US1 4675	
75	90 03/01/2004		EXAM	INER	
Samuel A. Kassatly			JASMIN, LYNDA C		
6819 Trinidad I San Jose, CA	: -		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Survey 2.1 70 12 0			3627	3627	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/01/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/783,410	KRAFT ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
۹.		Lynda Jasmin	3627
The MAILING	G DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED ST THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may lafter SIX (6) MONTHS fr - If the period for reply syc - If NO period for reply is s - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the	TATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 rom the mailing date of this communication. ecified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply specified above, the maximum statutory period verset or extended period for reply will, by statute e Office later than three months after the mailing stment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive t	o communication(s) filed on 19 D	ecember 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is	•	action is non-final.	
•	plication is in condition for allowar	•	
closed in acc	ordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	;		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20	2 is/are pending in the application		
	ove claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	② is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specificat	tion is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)☐ The drawing(s	s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
Applicant may	not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•
11) The oath or d	eclaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgm	nent is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).
•	Some * c)☐ None of:		., .,
1. Certifie	ed copies of the priority document	s have been received.	
2.☐ Certifie	ed copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No
3.☐ Copies	of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
applica	ation from the International Bureau	ս (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attach	ed detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References	Cited (PTO-892) o's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
	e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	·	6)	
Potent and Tradomark Office			

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Amendment received on December 19, 2003 has been acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "and" before the term "one" should be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jammes et al. (6,484,149).

Jammes et al. discloses a system and method of providing a shopping proposal that enhances a merchant's existing database system (via organizing and advertising descriptions of product inventory), and a computer program for doing the same with the steps of:

analyzing a catalog of items in the existing database system (database 116) based on a set of predefined rules that correlate the items under certain conditions, to

Art Unit: 3627

determine which of the items in the catalog are related to other items in the catalog (via lists of related products corresponding to group to be expanded in the data record), and to define a new set of relations between the catalog items (via modifying relationship information) (col. 11, lines 37-53; col. 16, lines 27-41),

wherein each rule includes an evidence and a conclusion (via event handlers) applying the new set of relations to the existing database system to update the database system by providing new associations of the items in the database system (col. 39, lines 22-31; col. 40-22-38),

generating a shopping advisor knowledge database (via relational database server 114) that comprises the new associations for each item of the existing database system (for example cross sale)

and offering automated, dynamic, and personalized shopping advice to the shopper based on a shopper query by retrieving links to items from the database system that have been related by the new associations (via generating messages to a consumer; col. 40, lines 56-60).

Jammes et al. further discloses analyzing the catalog of items in the existing database system is based on a set of predefined rules for a given line of items (as illustrated in Figures 4 and 7), defining the new set of relations includes defining a set of properties for the catalog items (by defining relationships between products and groups). The defining set further includes defining one or more of color, size or category (col. 40, lines 1-12)

Art Unit: 3627

Jammes et al. also discloses applying the new set of relations includes assembling catalog items based on a set of predefined rules that is independent of the merchant's industry (via traffic analysis database logs), and applying the new set of relations further includes using an intermediate format to list items that have been related by the new associations (as illustrated in Figure 20B). Jammes et al. further discloses the steps of using additional information available during a shopping session (via consumer's browsing table or product table). Jammes et al. further discloses a finder module (via scanning the request message for a cookie identifier) and a response creation module (via creating new record in the product order table).

Page 4

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Maxwell et al. discloses a relational database that allows used to search for specific products.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynda Jasmin whose telephone number is (703) 305-0465. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday (8:00-5:30) alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rimary Examiner

Art Unit 3627